



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/666,205  | 09/18/2003  | Wolfgang Stampfer    | 58489/B583          | 8858             |
| 23363 7590 02/04/2009<br>CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP<br>PO BOX 7068<br>PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| PAK, YONG D   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT  |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 1652  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE   |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 02/04/2009  |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/666,205

**Applicant(s)**

STAMPFER ET AL.

**Examiner**

YONG D. PAK

**Art Unit**

1652

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 45 and 49 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 45 and 49 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application is a CIP of PCT/EP03/02439.

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 2, 2009 been entered.

Claims 45 and 49 are pending and are under consideration.

#### ***Response to Arguments/Amendments***

Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on January 2, 2009, have been fully considered but are not persuasive to overcome the objection/rejection previously applied, as described below.

#### ***Specification***

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code, page 43 for example. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 45 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Stampfer et al.

Claims 45 and 49 are drawn to an alcohol dehydrogenase comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:48.

Stampfer et al. (form PTO-1449) discloses an isolated alcohol dehydrogenase obtained from *Rhodococcus ruber* DSM 44541 (page1014-1015). The specification of the instant invention on page 49 teaches that *Rhodococcus ruber* DSM 44541 and *Rhodococcus ruber* DSM 14855 are the same microorganism. Further, both the alcohol dehydrogenase of Stampfer et al. and the alcohol dehydrogenase of SEQ ID NO:48 of the instant invention use 2-propanol/acetone as co-substrates in oxidizing 2-octanol (Scheme 1, Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2 of Stampfer et al. and Tables 7 and 8 of the instant specification on pages 39-40). Therefore, Examiner takes the position that the alcohol dehydrogenase of Stampfer et al. is 100% identical to the alcohol dehydrogenase of SEQ ID NO:48 of the instant invention. Thus, the alcohol dehydrogenase of Stampfer et al. inherently possesses the same material structure characteristics as the alcohol dehydrogenase of claims 45 and 49 since both alcohol dehydrogenases are obtained from the same source and have the same function.

Since the Office does not have facilities for examining and comparing applicant's alcohol dehydrogenase with the alcohol dehydrogenase of the prior art, the burden is on the applicant to show a novel or unobvious difference between the claimed product and the product of the prior art (i.e., that the alcohol dehydrogenase of the prior art does not possess the same material structure and functional characteristics of the claimed alcohol dehydrogenase). See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and *In re Fitzgerald et al.*, 205 USPQ 594. Therefore, the reference of Stampfer et al. anticipates claims 45 and 49.

In response to the previous Office Action, applicants have traversed the above rejection.

Applicants argue that the reference of Stampfer et al. is not the work of "another" since if Mr. Moitzi (only author who is not an inventor of the instant invention) were an inventor of the subject matter disclosed in the cited reference, he would have been identified as an inventor on the present application and the fact that he wasn't leads the undersigned to surmise that he must have played only a non-inventive role in the research described in the reference. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Speculation of the role played by Mr. Moitzi is insufficient to over the instant rejection. Applicants are urged to provide a satisfactory showing that would lead to a reasonable conclusion that applicants are the inventor of the subject matter disclosed in the article and claimed in the application by filing an affidavit or declaration under **37 CFR 1.132** showing that the reference invention is not by "another."

Hence the rejection is **maintained**.

***Conclusion***

Claims 45 and 49 are rejected.

No claim is allowed.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935.

Art Unit: 1652

The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nashaat Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

/Yong D Pak/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652